

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 15 August 1962

1. [] **Talked with Bob Smart, House Armed Services Committee staff, about our legislation and questions of clearance with Post Office and Civil Service and House Foreign Affairs Committees. It was his view that before introduction this should be cleared away with the two Committees. Further, he offered his view that after discussion with William Darden of Senate Armed Services staff there appeared to be little likelihood that the bill could clear the Congress. However, he saw the value in actual introduction. It was further determined, however, that neither Smart nor Darden had discussed the bill or tactics with either Vinson or Russell.**
2. [] **While attempting to make arrangements for a convenient time to meet with the Speaker, Mr. McCormack came on the telephone asking if I was sure Mr. McCone wanted to see him. I pointed out that Mr. McCone for some time had wanted to sit down with the Speaker and discuss the Agency generally and now we did have a small piece of legislation also. Mr. McCormack wanted to be sure he was not imposing on the busy schedule of Mr. McCone. The meeting was set for 10:30 tomorrow morning.**
3. [] **Discussed with Boyd Crawford, Staff Director, House Foreign Affairs Committee, our proposed legislation, giving him a brief run-down asking if he foresaw any jurisdictional problems and asking his advice about talking to the Chairman, Dr. Morgan. Crawford indicated he saw no real problem and suggested that he take it up with the Chairman. Subsequently, Crawford advised he had talked to Dr. Morgan who indicated firmly there certainly was no jurisdictional problem and the Chairman added not only would he strongly support our bill but he would certainly vote for it.**
4. [] **Talked with George Moore on the staff of House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, concerning our early retirement legislation. In view of the fact that it was an amendment to the CIA Act under jurisdiction of Armed Services, Moore was certain [] would have no objection on jurisdictional**